

BIBLIOGRAPHIE du GLOSSAIRE MÉTACOGNITION

Les synthèses de la littérature scientifique en Français apparaissent en bleu.

Les articles cités sont généralement téléchargeables à partir de Safari/Google Scholar.

La traduction française des articles peut être opérée gratuitement par DeepL Translate ou par ChatGPT version 3.

A

Anticipations métacognitives

Kelley, C. M. & Jacoby, L. L. (1998). Subjective reports and process dissociation: Fluency, knowing, and feeling. *Acta Psychologica*, 98 (2), 127-140.

Huguet, P., Brunot, S., & Monteil, J. M. (2001). Geometry versus drawing: Changing the meaning of the task as a means to change performance. *Social Psychology of Education*, 4(3), 219-234.

Koriat, A., & Bjork, R. A. (2005). Illusions of competence in monitoring one's knowledge during study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 31(2), 187-194.

[Proust, J. \(2018\) Entrée "Métacognition", *Encyclopedia Universalis*](#)

Proust, J. (2010). Metacognition. *Philosophy Compass*, 5(11), 989-998.

Seow, T. X., Rouault, M., Gillan, C. M., & Fleming, S. M. (2021). How local and global metacognition shape mental health. *Biological psychiatry*, 90(7), 436-446.

Apprentissage

Begus, K., & Southgate, V. (2018). Curious learners: How infants' motivation to learn shapes and is shaped by infants' interactions with the social world. In M. M. S. A. Ganea (Ed.), *Active Learning from Infancy to Childhood: Social Motivation, Cognition, and Linguistic Mechanisms* (pp. 13–37). Springer.

Cortese, A., Lau, H. & Kawato, M. (2020). Unconscious reinforcement learning of hidden brain states supported by confidence. *Nature Communications* **11**, 4429.

[Dehaene, S. \(2018\). *Apprendre! Les talents du cerveau, le défi des machines*. Paris: Odile Jacob.](#)

[Hattie, J. *L'apprentissage visible et efficace*. Montréal : Presses de l'Université du Québec, 2017.](#)

Kandel, E.R. and Hawkins, R.D., The biological basis of learning and individuality. *Scientific American*, 267(3), 1992. pp.78-87.

Skinner, B. F. (1981), Selection by consequences. *Science*, 213(4507), 501–504

Smolen, P., Zhang, Y. & Byrne, J.H.(2016). The right time to learn: mechanisms and optimization of spaced learning. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 17.2: 77-88.

Soderstrom, N. C., & Bjork, R. A. (2015). Learning versus performance: An integrative review. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 176-199.

Stanton, J. D., Sebesta, A. J., & Dunlosky, J. (2021). Fostering metacognition to support student learning and performance. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 20(2), fe3.

Auto-affirmation

[Bandura, A. *Auto-efficacité : Le sentiment d'efficacité personnelle*. De Boeck, 2007.](#)

Cohen, G. L., Garcia, J., Purdie-Vaughns, V., Apfel, N., & Brzustoski, P. (2009). Recursive processes in self-affirmation: Intervening to close the minority achievement gap. *Science*, 324(5925), 400-403.

Oyserman, D. (2014). Identity-based motivation: Core processes and intervention examples. In *Motivational interventions* (pp. 213-242). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Auto-évaluation

[Dweck, C. \(2010\). *Changer d'état d'esprit. Une nouvelle psychologie de la réussite*. Liège, Mardaga.](#)

Fleming, S. M., & Daw, N. D. (2017). Self-evaluation of decision-making: A general Bayesian framework for metacognitive computation. *Psychological review*, 124(1), 91-114.

Koriat, A., & Bjork, R. A. (2005). Illusions of competence in monitoring one's knowledge during study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 31(2), 187-194.

Kruger, J., & Dunning, D. (1999). Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 77(6), 1121-1134.

Nicol, D. (2021). The power of internal feedback: Exploiting natural comparison processes. *Assessment & Evaluation in higher education*, 46(5), 756-778.

[Proust, J. \(2019\). La métacognition: les enjeux pédagogiques de la recherche, in: S. Dehaene \(ed.\), *Les sciences au service de l'école*. Odile Jacob, pp. 159-240](#)

Autonomie

Bocquillon, M., Gauthier, C., Bissonnette, S., & Derobertmeasure, A. (2020). Enseignement explicite et développement de compétences: antinomie ou nécessité? *Formation et profession*, 28(2), 3-18.

Honig, M. I., & Rainey, L. R. (2012). Autonomy and school improvement: What do we know and where do we go from here?. *Educational policy*, 26(3), 465-495.

Reeve, J., & Jang, H. (2006). What teachers say and do to support students' autonomy during a learning activity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98, 209–218.

Auto-régulation

Bjork, R. A., Dunlosky, J., & Kornell, N. (2013). Self-regulated learning: Beliefs, techniques, and illusions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 417-444.

Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (2004). Self-regulation of action and affect. *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory, and applications*, 2, 3-21.

Fernandez, J., Guilbert, J., & Letanneux, A. (2019). Entraîner l'Autorégulation pour Améliorer la Rédaction. *Approche neuropsychologique des apprentissages chez l'enfant*, (163), 759-768.

Guimard, P., Hubert, B., Crusson-Pondeville, S., & Nocus, I. (2012). Autorégulation comportementale et apprentissages scolaires à l'école maternelle. *Psychologie française*, 57(3), 143-159.

Pintrich, P. R. (2004). A conceptual framework for assessing motivation and self-regulated learning in college students. *Educational psychology review*, 16, 385-407.

B

Biais métacognitifs

Koriat, A., & Bjork, R. A. (2005). Illusions of competence in monitoring one's knowledge during study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 31(2), 187-194.

Kruger, J., & Dunning, D. (1999). Unskilled and unaware of it: How difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(6), 1121-1134.

Mahmoodi, A., Bang, D., Olsen, K., Zhao, Y. A., Shi, Z., Broberg, K. & Roepstorff, A. (2015), Equality bias impairs collective decision-making across cultures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(12), 3835-3840.

Reber, R., & Schwarz, N., (1999). Effects of perceptual fluency on judgments of truth. *Consciousness and cognition*, , 8(3), 338-342.

Biais socio-cognitifs

Haun, D.B.& Tomasello, M., (2011), Conformity to peer pressure in preschool children. *Child development*. 82(6):1759-67.

Huguet, P., Brunot, S., & Monteil, J. M. (2001). Geometry versus drawing: Changing the meaning of the task as a means to change performance. *Social Psychology of Education*, 4(3-4), 219-234.

Huguet, P., & Monteil, J. M. (2013). *Réussir ou échouer à l'école : une question de contexte?* Grenoble: PUG.

Marin, B., & Crinon, J. (2014). Stéréotypes et contraintes de genres: quelles ressources pour la production de textes explicatifs et de fiction à l'école élémentaire?. *Éducation et didactique*, 2, 39-58.

But conceptuel

Barsalou, L. W. (2012). The human conceptual system. In Spivey, M., Joanisse, M., & McRae, K. (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of psycholinguistics*. Cambridge University Press, 239-258.

Gvozdic, K., & Sander, E. (2018). When intuitive conceptions overshadow pedagogical content knowledge: Teachers' conceptions of students' arithmetic word problem solving strategies. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 98(2), 157-175.

But de maîtrise / but de performance

Berger, J. L. (2013). Motivation et métacognition: les buts de compétence prédisent les processus métacognitifs en résolution de problèmes mathématiques. *Psychologie française*, 58(4), 297-318.

Brophy, J. (2005). Goal theorists should move on from performance goals. *Educational psychologist*, 40(3), 167-176.

Karabenick, S. A. (2004). Perceived achievement goal structure and college student help seeking. *Journal of educational psychology*, 96(3), 569-581.

Karabenick, S. A., & Berger, J.-L., Ruzek, E., & Schenke, K. (2021). Strategy motivation and strategy use: Role of student appraisals of utility and cost. *Metacognition and Learning*, 16, 345-366.

Proust, J. (2018). La métacognition et l'auto-évaluation. in O. Houdé & g. Borst (eds.). *Le cerveau et les apprentissages*, pp. 207-228. Paris : Nathan.

Smeding, A., Darnon, C., Souchal, C., Toczek-Capelle, M. C., & Butera, F. (2013). Reducing the socio-economic status achievement gap at university by promoting mastery-oriented assessment. *PloS one*, 8(8), e71678.

C

Cognition

Anderson, J. R. (2013). *The architecture of cognition*. Psychology Press.

Dehaene, S. (ed.) (2019). *La Science au service de l'école: Premiers travaux du Conseil scientifique de l'éducation nationale*. Odile Jacob.

Houdé, O. (2024). *L'école du cerveau: de Montessori, Freinet et Piaget aux sciences cognitives*. Mardaga.

Naccache, L. (2014). Neurosciences et sciences humaines: une relation à inventer. *Cités*, (4), 17-27.

Comparaison ascendante proximale

Pansu, P., de Place, A. L., Bouffard, T., Blaise, F., Boissicat, N., Insel, H., ... & Verkampt, F. (2021). *Le biais d'auto-évaluation de compétence scolaire Risque ou opportunité pour la réussite des élèves?* (Thèse de l'Université Grenoble Alpes; Université Toulouse Jean Jaurès; Université du Québec (Montréal)).

Croizet, J. C., Goudeau, S., Marot, M., & Millet, M. (2017). How do educational contexts contribute to the social class achievement gap: Documenting symbolic violence from a social psychological point of view. *Current opinion in psychology*, 18, 105-110.

Compétences/capacités métacognitives

Koriat, A., & Bjork, R. A. (2005). Illusions of competence in monitoring one's knowledge during study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 31(2), 187-194.

Koriat, A., & Levy-Sadot, R. (1999). Information-based and experience-based monitoring of one's own knowledge. *Dual-process theories in social psychology*, 483-502.

Proust, J. (2019). La métacognition: les enjeux pédagogiques de la recherche. In S. Dehaene (ed.), *La science au service de l'école*, Paris: Odile Jacob, pp. 159-280.

Robson, D. A., Allen, M. S., & Howard, S. J. (2020). Self-regulation in childhood as a

predictor of future outcomes: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological bulletin*, 146(4), 324-354.

Roebbers, C. M., Cimeli, P., Röthlisberger, M., & Neuenschwander, R. (2012). Executive functioning, metacognition, and self-perceived competence in elementary school children: An explorative study on their interrelations and their role for school achievement. *Metacognition and Learning*, 7, 151-173.

Compréhension

McKeown, M.G. and Beck, I.L., (2009). The role of metacognition in understanding and supporting reading comprehension. *Handbook of metacognition in education*, pp.7-25.

■ Sur écran/sur papier (voir Ecran, compréhension)

Ackerman, R., & Goldsmith, M. (2011), Metacognitive regulation of text learning: On screen versus on paper. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 17(1), 18-32.

Ackerman, R., & Lauterman, T. (2012), Taking reading comprehension exams on screen or on paper? A metacognitive analysis of learning texts under time pressure. *Computers in human behavior*, 28(5), 1816-1828.

Halamish, V., & Elbaz, E. (2020), Children's reading comprehension and metacomprehension on screen versus on paper. *Computers & Education*, 145, 103737.

[Proust, J. \(2021\) *Penser vite ou penser bien ?* Ch. 6. Paris, Odile Jacob.](#)

■ Compréhension superficielle

Gvozdic K., Sander E. (2018). When intuitive conceptions overshadow pedagogical content knowledge: Teachers' conceptions of students' arithmetic word problem solving strategies. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*. 98(2):157-75.

■ Compréhension profonde

De Bruin, A. B., Thiede, K. W., Camp, G., & Redford, J. (2011). Generating keywords improves metacomprehension and self-regulation in elementary and middle school children. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 109(3), 294-310.

Diakidoy, I. A. N., Mouskounti, T., & Ioannides, C. (2011), Comprehension and learning from refutation and expository texts. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 46(1), 22-38.

Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K., & Hacker, D. (2002). Metacomprehension of science text: Investigating the levels-of-disruption hypothesis. In J. Otero & A.Graesser (Dirs.), *The psychology of science text comprehension*, Hillsdale, NJ : LEA, pp. 255-80.

McNamara, D. S., Kintsch, E., Songer, N. B., & Kintsch, W. (1996), Are good texts always better? Interactions of text coherence, background knowledge, and levels of understanding in learning from text. *Cognition and instruction*, 14(1), 1-43.

■ Comprendre versus se rappeler:

Ackerman, R., & Beller, Y. (2017). Shared and distinct cue utilization for metacognitive judgements during reasoning and memorisation. *Thinking & Reasoning*, 23(4), 376-408.

Allington, R. L., & Strange, M. (1979). Remembering is not necessarily understanding in content areas. *Reading Horizons: A Journal of Literacy and Language Arts*, 20(1), 11.

Concept / contenu conceptuel

Barsalou, L. W., Dutriaux, L., & Scheepers, C. (2018). Moving beyond the distinction between concrete and abstract concepts. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373(1752), 20170144.

Shea, N. (2018). Metacognition and abstract concepts. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373 (1752), 20170133.

Wilson-Mendenhall, C. D., Simmons, W. K., Martin, A., & Barsalou, L. W. (2013). Contextual processing of abstract concepts reveals neural representations of nonlinguistic semantic content. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience*, 25(6), 920-935.

Confiance (voir métacognition procédurale)

Kepecs, A., Uchida, N., Zariwala, H.A., and Mainen, Z.F. (2008). Neural correlates, computation and behavioural impact of decision confidence. *Nature* 455, 227–231.

Martí, L., Mollica, F., Piantadosi, S. and Kidd, C., (2018). Certainty is primarily determined by past performance during concept learning. *Open Mind*, 2(2), pp.47-60.

Contexte de traitement

Cohen, G. L., Garcia, J., Purdie-Vaughns, V., Apfel, N., & Brzustoski, P. (2009). Recursive processes in self-affirmation: Intervening to close the minority achievement gap. *Science*, 324(5925), 400-403.

Duckworth, A. L., Kirby, T. A., Gollwitzer, A., & Oettingen, G. (2013). From fantasy to action: Mental contrasting with implementation intentions (MCII) improves academic performance in children. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 4(6), 745---753.

Huguet, P., & Monteil, J. M. (2013). *Réussir ou échouer à l'école : une question de contexte?* Grenoble: PUG

Oyserman, D. (2015). *Pathways to Success Through Identity-based Motivation*. Oxford University Press.

Croyance sur soi

Kleitman, S., & Gibson, J. (2011). Metacognitive beliefs, self-confidence and primary learning environment of sixth grade students. *Learning and Individual Differences, 21*(6), 728-735.

Oyserman, D., Lewis Jr, N. A., Yan, V. X., Fisher, O., O'Donnell, S. C., & Horowitz, E. (2017). An identity-based motivation framework for self-regulation. *Psychological Inquiry, 28*(2-3), 139-147

Roebbers, C. M., Cimeli, P., Röthlisberger, M., & Neuenschwander, R. (2012). Executive functioning, metacognition, and self-perceived competence in elementary school children: An explorative study on their interrelations and their role for school achievement. *Metacognition and Learning, 7*, 151-173.

Seow, T. X., Rouault, M., Gillan, C. M., & Fleming, S. M. (2021). How local and global metacognition shape mental health. *Biological psychiatry, 90*(7), 436-446.

Curiosité

Fandakova, Y., & Gruber, M. J. (2021). States of curiosity and interest enhance memory differently in adolescents and in children. *Developmental Science, 24*(1), e13005

Kidd, C, Piantadosi, S.T.& Aslin, R.N. (2012). The Goldilocks effect: Human infants allocate attention to visual sequences that are neither too simple nor too complex. *PLoS one 7.5*: e36399

Gottlieb, J., & Oudeyer, P. Y. (2018). Towards a neuroscience of active sampling and curiosity. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 19*(12), 758-770.

[Hattie, J. \(2017\). *L'apprentissage visible et efficace*. Montréal : Presses de l'Université du Québec.](#)

E

Écran et compréhension

Ackerman, R., & Goldsmith, M. (2011). Metacognitive regulation of text learning: On screen versus on paper. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 17*(1), 18-32.

Ackerman, R., & Lauterman, T. (2012). Taking reading comprehension exams on screen or on paper? A metacognitive analysis of learning texts under time pressure. *Computers in human behavior, 28*(5), 1816-1828.

Delgado P., Vargas C., Ackerman R., Salmerón L. (2018). Don't throw away your printed books: A meta-analysis on the effects of reading media on reading comprehension, *Educational Research Review*, Volume 25, Pages 23-38.

Lauterman, T., & Ackerman, R. (2014). Overcoming screen inferiority in learning and calibration. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 35, 455-463.

Sidi, Y., Shpigelman, M., Zalmanov, H., & Ackerman, R. (2017). Understanding metacognitive inferiority on screen by exposing cues for depth of processing. *Learning and Instruction*, 51, 61-73

Effort

Ackerman, R., Binah-Pollak, A., & Lauterman, T. (2023). Metacognitive Effort Regulation across Cultures. *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(9), 171-191

Fisher, O., & Oyserman, D. (2017). Assessing interpretations of experienced ease and difficulty as motivational constructs. *Motivation Science*, 3(2), 133-163

Hoch, E., Sidi, Y, Ackerman, R, Hoogerheide, V. & Scheiter, K. (2023). Comparing mental effort, difficulty, and confidence appraisals in problem-solving: A metacognitive perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* 35: 61.

Inzlicht, M., Shenhav, A., & Olivola, C. Y. (2018). The effort paradox: Effort is both costly and valued. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 22(4), 337-349.

Kahneman, D. (1973) *Attention and effort*. Vol. 1063. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Kurzban R, Duckworth A, Kable JW, Myers J. (2013) An opportunity cost model of subjective effort and task performance. *Behavioral and brain sciences*. 36(6):661-79.

O'Sullivan, J. T. (1993). Preschoolers' beliefs about effort, incentives, and recall. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 55(3), 396-414.

Van Gog, T., Hoogerheide, V., & Van Harsel, M. (2020). The role of mental effort in fostering self-regulated learning with problem-solving tasks. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(4), 1055-1072.

Enseignement explicite

Ashman, G., Kalyuga, S., & Sweller, J. (2020). Problem-solving or explicit instruction: Which should go first when element interactivity is high?. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(1), 229-247.

Barbieri, C. A., Miller-Cotto, D., Clerjuste, S. N., & Chawla, K. (2023). A meta-analysis of the worked examples effect on mathematics performance. *Educational Psychology Review*, 35(1), 11.

Bianco, M. (2016). Pourquoi un enseignement explicite de la compréhension en lecture?. *Conférence de consensus, Conseil national d'évaluation du système scolaire (CNESCO)* (p. 18).

Bissonnette, S., Richard, M., & Gauthier, C. (2005). Interventions pédagogiques efficaces et réussite scolaire des élèves provenant de milieux défavorisés. *Revue française de pédagogie*, 150(1), 87-141.

Bocquillon, M., Baco, C., Derobertmeasure, A., & Demeuse, M. (2024). *Enseignement explicite : pratiques et stratégies Quand l'enseignant fait la différence*. Louvain-la-Neuve, De Boeck Supérieur.

Bressoux, P. (2022). L'enseignement explicite: de quoi s'agit-il, pourquoi ça marche et dans quelles conditions. *Synthèse de la recherche et recommandations. Conseil Scientifique de l'Education Nationale*.

Fernandez, J., Guilbert, J., & Letanneux, A. (2019). Entraîner l'autorégulation pour améliorer la rédaction. *Approche Neuropsychologique des Apprentissages chez l'Enfant (ANAE)*, 163, 759-768.

Hughes, C. A., Morris, J. R., Therrien, W. J., & Benson, S. K. (2017). Explicit instruction: Historical and contemporary contexts. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 32(3), 140-148.

Stockard, J., Wood, T. W., Coughlin, C., & Rasplika Khoury, C. (2018). The effectiveness of direct instruction curricula: A meta-analysis of a half century of research. *Review of educational research*, 88(4), 479-507.

Potocki, A., Ayroles, J., & Rouet, J.F. (2023). A short teacher-led intervention using direct instruction enhances 5th graders' purposeful reading skills. *Learning & Instruction*, 86, 101781.

Erreur

Butler, A. C., Karpicke, J. D., & Roediger III, H. L. (2008). Correcting a metacognitive error: feedback increases retention of low-confidence correct responses. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 34(4), 918-928.

Cruz, A. L. F., Arango-Muñoz, S., & Volz, K. G. (2016). Oops, scratch that! Monitoring one's own errors during mental calculation. *Cognition*, 146, 110-120.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of educational research, 77*(1), 81-112.

Huelser, B. J., & Metcalfe, J. (2012). Making related errors facilitates learning, but learners do not know it. *Memory & cognition, 40*, 514-527.

Keith, N., & Frese, M. (2005). Self-regulation in error management training: emotion control and metacognition as mediators of performance effects. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 90*(4), 677-691.

Mathan, S. A., & Koedinger, K. R. (2018). Fostering the intelligent novice: Learning from errors with metacognitive tutoring. In *Computers as Metacognitive Tools for Enhancing Learning* (pp. 257-265). Routledge.

Exercices intercalaires

de Bruin, A. B., Thiede, K. W., Camp, G., & Redford, J. (2011). Generating keywords improves metacomprehension and self-regulation in elementary and middle school children. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 109*(3), 294-310.

Dunlosky, J. & Lipko, A. R. (2007). Metacomprehension: A Brief History and How To Improve Its Accuracy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 16*, 228- 232.

Fukaya, T. (2013). Explanation generation, not explanation expectancy, improves metacomprehension accuracy. *Metacognition and learning, 8*(1), 1-18.

Maki, R. H., Foley, J. M., Kajer, W. K., Thompson, R. C., & Willert, M. G. (1990). Increased processing enhances calibration of comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 16*, 609-616.

Thiede, K. W., Griffin, T. D., Wiley, J., & Anderson, M. C. (2010). Poor meta-comprehension accuracy as a result of inappropriate cue use. *Discourse Processes, 47*(4), 331-362.

Thiede, K. W., Redford, J. S., Wiley, J., & Griffin, T. D. (2012). Elementary school experience with comprehension testing may influence metacomprehension accuracy among seventh and eighth graders. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 104*(3), 554-564.

F

Feedback

Haddara, N & Rahnev, D.(2022). The impact of feedback on perceptual decision-making and metacognition: Reduction in bias but no change in sensitivity. *Psychological Science* 33: 259–75.

Koriat, A. (1997). Monitoring one's own knowledge during study: A cue-utilization approach to judgments of learning. *Journal of experimental psychology: General*, 126(4), 349.

Hattie, J., & Gan, M. (2011). Instruction based on feedback. In *Handbook of research on learning and instruction* (pp. 263-285). Routledge.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of educational research*, 77(1), 81-112.

Proust, J. (2018). La métacognition et l'auto-évaluation. in O. Houdé & g. Borst (eds.). *Le cerveau et les apprentissages*, pp. 207-228. Paris : Nathan.

Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of educational research*, 78(1), 153-189.

Feedback de but, de processus et de résultat

- Feedback de but

Koriat, A. (1993). How do we know that we know? The accessibility model of the feeling of knowing. *Psychological review*, 100(4), 609-639.

- Feedback de processus

Yeung, N., & Summerfield, C. (2012). Metacognition in human decision-making: confidence and error monitoring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1594), 1310-1321.

Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (2004). Self-regulation of action and affect. *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory, and applications*, 2, 3-21.

- Feedback de résultat

Koriat, A., & Ackerman, R. (2010). Choice latency as a cue for children's subjective confidence in the correctness of their answers. *Developmental Science*, 13, 441-453.

Feedback interne et externe:

Alter, A.L. and Oppenheimer, D.M., (2009). Uniting the tribes of fluency to form a metacognitive nation. *Personality and social psychology review*, 13(3), pp.219-235.

Kim, S., Paulus, M., Sodian, B., & Proust, J. (2016). Young children's sensitivity to their own ignorance in informing others. *PloS one*, 11(3), e0152595.

Koriat, A. (2000). The feeling of knowing: Some metatheoretical implications for consciousness and control. *Consciousness and cognition*, 9(2), 149-171.

Feedback sur la personne / sur la tâche

Hattie, J. (2017). *L'apprentissage visible et efficace*. Montréal : Presses de l'Université du Québec.

Hattie, J., & Gan, M. (2011). Instruction based on feedback. In *Handbook of research on learning and instruction* (pp. 263-285). Routledge.

Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: a historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological bulletin*, 119(2), 254-284.

Thompson, A. M., Wiedermann, W., Herman, K. C., & Reinke, W. M. (2021). Effect of daily teacher feedback on subsequent motivation and mental health outcomes in fifth grade students: A person-centered analysis. *Prevention Science*, 22, 775-785

H

Heuristique

Ackerman, R. (2019). Heuristic cues for meta-reasoning judgments: Review and methodology. *Psihologijske teme*, 28(1), 1-20.

Koriat, A., Ackerman, R., Lockl, K., & Schneider, W. (2009). The easily learned, easily remembered heuristic in children. *Cognitive Development*, 24(2), 169-182.

Koriat, A., Nussinson, R., & Ackerman, R. (2014). Judgments of learning depend on how learners interpret study effort. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 40(6), 1624-1637.

Proust, J. (2018). La métacognition et l'auto-évaluation. in O. Houdé & g. Borst (eds.). *Le cerveau et les apprentissages*, pp. 207-228. Paris : Nathan.

Serra, M. J., & Metcalfe, J. (2009). Effective implementation of metacognition. In *Handbook of metacognition in education* (pp. 278-298). Routledge.

Sherman, M. T., & Seth, A. K. (2024). Knowing that you know that you know? An extreme-confidence heuristic can lead to above-chance discrimination of metacognitive performance. *Neuroscience of Consciousness*, 2024(1), niae020.

I

Image de soi

Croizet, J. C., Goudeau, S., Marot, M., & Millet, M. (2017). How do educational contexts contribute to the social class achievement gap: Documenting symbolic violence from a social psychological point of view. *Current opinion in psychology, 18*, 105-110.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Motivation, personality, and development within embedded social contexts: An overview of self-determination theory. *The Oxford handbook of human motivation, 18*(6), 85-107.

Kleitman, S., & Stankov, L. (2001). Ecological and person-oriented aspects of metacognitive processes in test-taking. *Applied Cognitive Psychology: The Official Journal of the Society for Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 15*(3), 321-341.

Oyserman, D. (2007). Social identity and self-regulation. *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles, 2*(1), 432-453.

Oyserman, D., Lewis Jr, N. A., Yan, V. X., Fisher, O., O'Donnell, S. C., & Horowitz, E. (2017). An identity-based motivation framework for self-regulation. *Psychological Inquiry, 28*(2-3), 139-147.

Roebbers, C. M., Cimeli, P., Röthlisberger, M., & Neuenschwander, R. (2012). Executive functioning, metacognition, and self-perceived competence in elementary school children: An explorative study on their interrelations and their role for school achievement. *Metacognition and Learning, 7*, 151-173.

Seow, T. X., Rouault, M., Gillan, C. M., & Fleming, S. M. (2021). How local and global metacognition shape mental health. *Biological psychiatry, 90*(7), 436-446.

M

Menace du stéréotype

Croizet, J. C., Goudeau, S., Marot, M., & Millet, M. (2017). How do educational contexts contribute to the social class achievement gap: Documenting symbolic violence from a social psychological point of view. *Current opinion in psychology, 18*, 105-110.

Glock, S., & Krolak-Schwerdt, S. (2014). Stereotype activation versus application: How teachers process and judge information about students from ethnic minorities and with low socioeconomic background. *Social Psychology of Education, 17*(4), 589-607.

Guyon, N., & Huillery, E. (2021). Biased aspirations and social inequality at school: Evidence from French teenagers. *The Economic Journal, 131*(634), 745-796.

Métacognition

Kelley, C. M. & Jacoby, L. L. (1998). Subjective reports and process dissociation: Fluency, knowing, and feeling. *Acta Psychologica, 98* (2), 127-140.

Koriat, A., & Levy-Sadot, R. (1999). Information-based and experience-based monitoring of one's own knowledge. *Dual-process theories in social psychology, 483-*

502.

Proust, J. (2018). La métacognition et l'auto-évaluation. in O. Houdé & g. Borst (eds.). *Le cerveau et les apprentissages*, pp. 207-228. Paris : Nathan.

Proust, J. (2019). La métacognition: les enjeux pédagogiques de la recherche. In S. Dehaene (ed.), *La science au service de l'école*, Paris: Odile Jacob, pp. 159-280.

Métaperception

Klever, L., Mamassian, P., & Billino, J. (2022). Age-related differences in visual confidence are driven by individual differences in cognitive control capacities. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 6016.

Thompson, V. A., Turner, J. A. P., Pennycook, G., Ball, L. J., Brack, H., Ophir, Y., & Ackerman, R. (2013). The role of answer fluency and perceptual fluency as metacognitive cues for initiating analytic thinking. *Cognition*, *128*(2), 237–251.

Métamémoire

Koriat, A., Nussinson, R., & Ackerman, R. (2014). Judgments of learning depend on how learners interpret study effort. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *40*(6), 1624-1637.

Koriat, A., Ackerman, R., Lockl, K., & Schneider, W. (2009). The easily learned, easily remembered heuristic in children. *Cognitive Development*, *24*(2), 169-182.

Lockl, K. and Schneider, W. (2007). Knowledge about the mind: Links between theory of mind and later metamemory, *Child Development*, , 78: 148–67.

Métacompréhension

Bianco, M. (2016). Pourquoi un enseignement explicite de la compréhension en lecture?. *Conférence de consensus, Conseil national d'évaluation du système scolaire (CNESCO)* (p. 18).

Dunlosky, J., & Lipko, A. R. (2007). Metacomprehension: A brief history and how to improve its accuracy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *16*(4), 228–232. DOI:10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00509.x.

Griffin, T. D., Wiley, J., & Thiede, K. W. (2008). Individual differences, rereading, and self-explanation: Concurrent processing and cue validity as constraints on metacomprehension accuracy. *Memory & Cognition*, *36*(1), 93–103. DOI: 10.3758/mc.36.1.93.

McKeown, M.G. and Beck, I.L. (2009). The role of metacognition in understanding and supporting reading comprehension. *Handbook of metacognition in education*, pp.7-25.

Nelson, T. O. and Narens, L. (1992). Metamemory: a theoretical framework and new findings'. In T. O. Nelson (ed.) *Metacognition: Core Readings*, Boston: Allyn and Bacon. 117–30

Pilegard, C., & Mayer, R. E. (2015). Adding judgments of understanding to the metacognitive toolbox. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 41, 62-72.

[Proust, J. \(2019\). La métacompréhension de la lecture. In: A. Bentolila \(ed.\) *Les essentiels de la lecture*. Paris: Nathan.](#)

Thiede, K. W., Dunlosky, J., Griffin, T. D., & Wiley, J. (2005). Understanding the delayed-keyword effect on metacomprehension accuracy. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 31(6), 1267. DOI: 10.1037/0278-7393.31.6.1267.

Thiede, K. W., Griffin, T. D., Wiley, J., & Anderson, M. C. (2010). Poor metacomprehension accuracy as a result of inappropriate cue use. *Discourse Processes*, 47(4), 331-362. DOI: 10.1080/01638530902959927.

Thiede, K. W., Wiley, J., & Griffin, T. D. (2011). Test expectancy affects metacomprehension accuracy. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81(2), 264-273. DOI: 10.1348/135910710X510494.

Métaraisonnement

Thompson, V.A., Turner, J.A.P., Pennycook, G., Ball, L.J., Brack, H., Ophir, Y. and Ackerman, R. (2013). The role of answer fluency and perceptual fluency as metacognitive cues for initiating analytic thinking. *Cognition*, 128(2), pp.237-251.

Ackerman, R. (2019). Heuristic cues for meta-reasoning judgments: Review and methodology. *Psihologijske teme*, 28(1), 1-20

Ackerman, R., & Beller, Y. (2017). Shared and distinct cue utilization for metacognitive judgements during reasoning and memorisation. *Thinking & Reasoning*, 23(4), 376-408.

Métacognition implicite

Gawronski, B. & Bodenhausen, G.V. (2006), Associative and propositional processes in evaluation: an integrative review of implicit and explicit attitude change. *Psychological bulletin*. (5):692-731.

Goupil, L., Romand-Monnier, M., & Kouider, S. (2016). Infants ask for help when they know they don't know. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 113, 3492–3496.

Greenwald, A.G., McGhee, D. E. and J. L. Schwartz (1998) Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: the implicit association test. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 74.6, p. 1464-1480.

Kepecs, A., Uchida, N., Zariwala, H.A., and Mainen, Z.F. (2008). Neural correlates, computation and behavioural impact of decision confidence. *Nature* 455, 227–231.

Martí, L., Mollica, F., Piantadosi, S. and Kidd, C., (2018). Certainty is primarily determined by past performance during concept learning. *Open Mind*, 2(2), pp.47-60.

Paulus, M., Proust, J. and Sodian, B. (2013). Examining implicit metacognition in 3.5-year-old children: An eye-tracking and pupillometric study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, 145.

Schneider, W., & Lockl, K. (2008). Procedural metacognition in children: Evidence for developmental trends. In J. Dunlosky & R. A. Bjork, (dirs.). *Handbook of metamemory and memory*, Psychology Press. 14, 391-409.

Métacognition explicite

Kleitman, S., & Gibson, J. (2011). Metacognitive beliefs, self-confidence and primary learning environment of sixth grade students. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 21(6), 728-735.

Schneider, W., & Artelt, C. (2010). Metacognition and mathematics education. *ZDM*, 42, 149-161.

Shea, N., Boldt, A., Bang, D., Yeung, N., Heyes, C., & Frith, C. D. (2014), Supra-personal cognitive control and metacognition. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 18(4), 186-193.

Métacognition située

Schwarz, N. (2010). Meaning in context: Metacognitive experiences. In Mesquita, B., Barrett, L. F., & Smith, E. R. (Eds.), *The mind in context*. Guilford Press, 105-125.

Yan, V. X., & Oyserman, D. (2018). The culture-identity-metacognition interface. In J. Proust & M. Fortier (eds.) *Metacognitive diversity: An interdisciplinary approach*, 225-244, Oxford University Press.

Motivation

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability (formerly: Journal of Personnel Evaluation in Education)*, 21(1), 5-31.

Berger, J. L. (2013). Motivation et métacognition: les buts de compétence prédisent les processus métacognitifs en résolution de problèmes mathématiques. *Psychologie française*, 58(4), 297-318.

Fisher, O., & Oyserman, D. (2017). Assessing interpretations of experienced ease and difficulty as motivational constructs. *Motivation Science*, 3(2), 133-163.

Niv Y., Joel D., Dayan P. (2006). A normative perspective on motivation. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. 10(8) : 375-81.

Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Girgus, J. S., & Seligman, M. E. (1986), Learned helplessness in children: A longitudinal study of depression, achievement, and explanatory style. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 51(2), 435-442.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2009). Promoting self-determined school engagement: Motivation, learning, and well-being. In K. R. Wenzel & A. Wigfield (Dirs.), *Educational psychology handbook series. Handbook of motivation at school*, Milton, Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group. p. 171–195.

Mindset (fixed mindset / growth mindset)

Dweck, C. S. (2010). *Changer d'état d'esprit: Une nouvelle psychologie de la réussite* (Vol. 5). Éditions Mardaga.

Limeri, L. B., Carter, N. T., Choe, J., Harper, H. G., Martin, H. R., Benton, A., & Dolan, E. L. (2020). Growing a growth mindset: Characterizing how and why undergraduate students' mindsets change. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 7, 1-19.

Macnamara, B. N., & Burgoyne, A. P. (2023). A spotlight on bias in the growth mindset intervention literature: A reply to commentaries that contextualize the discussion. *American Psychological association*, Vol. 149, 3–4, 242–258.

Tipton, E., Bryan, C., Murray, J., McDaniel, M. A., Schneider, B., & Yeager, D. S. (2023). Why meta-analyses of growth mindset and other interventions should follow best practices for examining heterogeneity: Commentary on Macnamara and Burgoyne (2023) and Burnette et al.(2023).

Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2020). What can be learned from growth mindset controversies? *American psychologist*, 75(9), 1269-1284

O

Objectif d'apprentissage

Koriat, A. (1993). How do we know that we know? The accessibility model of the feeling of knowing. *Psychological review*, 100(4), 609-639.

Fisher, O., & Oyserman, D. (2017). Assessing interpretations of experienced ease and difficulty as motivational constructs. *Motivation Science*, 3(2), 133-163.

Li, H., Majumdar, R., Chen, M. R. A., & Ogata, H. (2021). Goal-oriented active learning (GOAL) system to promote reading engagement, self-directed learning behavior, and motivation in extensive reading. *Computers & Education*, 171, 104239.

Niv Y., Joel D, Dayan P. (2006). A normative perspective on motivation. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. 10(8) : 375-81.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2009). Promoting self-determined school engagement: Motivation, learning, and well-being. In K. R. Wenzel & A. Wigfield (Dirs.), *Educational psychology handbook series. Handbook of motivation at school*, Milton, Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group. p. 171–195.

P

Pouvoir apprendre

Kang, M. J., Hsu, M., Krajbich, I. M., Loewenstein, G., McClure, S. M., Wang, J. T. Y., & Camerer, C. F. (2009). The wick in the candle of learning: Epistemic curiosity activates reward circuitry and enhances memory. *Psychological science*, 20(8), 963-973.

Kidd, C., Piantadosi, S. T., & Aslin, R. N. (2012). The Goldilocks effect: Human infants allocate attention to visual sequences that are neither too simple nor too complex. *PloS one*, 7(5), e36399.

Metcalf, J., Schwartz, B. L., & Eich, T. S. (2020). Epistemic curiosity and the region of proximal learning. *Current opinion in behavioral sciences*, 35, 40-47.

Proust, J. (2019). La métacognition: les enjeux pédagogiques de la recherche. In S. Dehaene (ed.), *La science au service de l'école*, Paris: Odile Jacob, pp. 159-280.

Pouvoir s'évaluer

Dunlosky, J., & Rawson, K. A. (2012). Overconfidence produces underachievement: Inaccurate self-evaluations undermine students' learning and retention. *Learning and Instruction*, 22(4), 271–280.

Goldsmith, Morris. (2016). Metacognitive quality-control processes in memory retrieval and reporting. In *The Oxford Handbook of Metamemory*. Edited by John Dunlosky and Sarah Tauber. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 357–85.

Kim, S., Paulus, M., Sodian, B., & Proust, J. (2016). Young children's sensitivity to their own ignorance in informing others. *PloS one*, *11*(3), e0152595.

Lapidow, E., Killeen, I., & Walker, C. M. (2022). Learning to recognize uncertainty vs. recognizing uncertainty to learn: Confidence judgments and exploration decisions in preschoolers. *Developmental science*, *25*(2), e13178.

Li, H., Majumdar, R., Chen, M. R. A., & Ogata, H. (2021). Goal-oriented active learning (GOAL) system to promote reading engagement, self-directed learning behavior, and motivation in extensive reading. *Computers & Education*, *171*, 104239.

McNamara, G., & O'Hara, J. (2008). The importance of the concept of self-evaluation in the changing landscape of education policy. *Studies in educational evaluation*, *34*(3), 173-179.

Proust, J. (2019). La métacognition: les enjeux pédagogiques de la recherche. In S. Dehaene (ed.), *La science au service de l'école*, Paris: Odile Jacob, pp. 159-280.

R

Régulation de l'effort

Ackerman, R., Binah-Pollak, A., & Lauterman, T. (2023). Metacognitive Effort Regulation across Cultures. *Journal of Intelligence*, *11*(9), 171-191

Fisher, O., & Oyserman, D. (2017). Assessing interpretations of experienced ease and difficulty as motivational constructs. *Motivation Science*, *3*(2), 133-163

Hoch, E., Sidi, Y, Ackerman. R, Hoogerheide, V. & Scheiter, K. (2023). Comparing mental effort, difficulty, and confidence appraisals in problem-solving: A metacognitive perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* *35*: 61.

Inzlicht, M., Shenhav, A., & Olivola, C. Y. (2018). The effort paradox: Effort is both costly and valued. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, *22*(4), 337-349.

Kahneman, D. (1973) *Attention and effort*. Vol. 1063. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Kurzban R, Duckworth A, Kable JW, Myers J. (2013) An opportunity cost model of subjective effort and task performance. *Behavioral and brain sciences*. *36*(6):661-79.

O'Sullivan, J. T. (1993). Preschoolers' beliefs about effort, incentives, and recall. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *55*(3), 396-414.

Van Gog, T., Hoogerheide, V., & Van Harsel, M. (2020). The role of mental effort in fostering self-regulated learning with problem-solving tasks. *Educational Psychology Review*, *32*(4), 1055-1072.

Représentation de stéréotype

Croizet, J. C., Goudeau, S., Marot, M., & Millet, M. (2017). How do educational contexts contribute to the social class achievement gap: Documenting symbolic violence from a social psychological point of view. *Current opinion in psychology*, *18*, 105-110.

Glock, S., & Krolak-Schwerdt, S. (2014). Stereotype activation versus application: How teachers process and judge information about students from ethnic minorities and with low socioeconomic background. *Social Psychology of Education*, *17*(4), 589-607.

Guyon, N., & Huillery, E. (2021). Biased aspirations and social inequality at school: Evidence from French teenagers. *The Economic Journal*, *131*(634), 745-796.

Huguet, P., Brunot, S., & Monteil, J. M. (2001). Geometry versus drawing: Changing the meaning of the task as a means to change performance. *Social Psychology of Education*, *4*(3-4), 219---234.

Huguet, P., & Monteil, J. M. (2013). *Réussir ou échouer à l'école : une question de contexte?* Grenoble: PUG.

Marin, B., & Crinon, J. (2014). Stéréotypes et contraintes de genres : quelles ressources pour la production de textes explicatifs et de fiction à l'école élémentaire?. *Éducation et didactique*, *2*, 39-58.

Représentation identitaire

Croizet, J. C., Goudeau, S., Marot, M., & Millet, M. (2017). How do educational contexts contribute to the social class achievement gap: Documenting symbolic violence from a social psychological point of view. *Current opinion in psychology*, *18*, 105-110.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Motivation, personality, and development within embedded social contexts: An overview of self-determination theory. *The Oxford handbook of human motivation*, *18*(6), 85-107.

Kleitman, S., & Stankov, L. (2001). Ecological and person-oriented aspects of metacognitive processes in test-taking. *Applied Cognitive Psychology: The Official Journal of the Society for Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, *15*(3), 321-341.

Oyserman, D. (2007). Social identity and self-regulation. In Kruglanski, A. W., & Higgins, E. T. (Eds.). *Social Psychology: Handbook of basic principles*. Guilford Publications. *2*(1), 432-453.

Oyserman, D., Lewis Jr, N. A., Yan, V. X., Fisher, O., O'Donnell, S. C., & Horowitz, E. (2017). An identity-based motivation framework for self-regulation. *Psychological Inquiry, 28*(2-3), 139-147.

Roebbers, C. M., Cimeli, P., Röthlisberger, M., & Neuenschwander, R. (2012). Executive functioning, metacognition, and self-perceived competence in elementary school children: An explorative study on their interrelations and their role for school achievement. *Metacognition and Learning, 7*, 151-173.

Seow, T. X., Rouault, M., Gillan, C. M., & Fleming, S. M. (2021). How local and global metacognition shape mental health. *Biological psychiatry, 90*(7), 436-446.

S

Sentiment d'auto-efficacité

[Bandura, A. \(2007\). *Auto-efficacité : Le sentiment d'efficacité personnelle*. De Boeck,](#)

Dogan, U. (2015). Student engagement, academic self-efficacy, and academic motivation as predictors of academic performance. *The Anthropologist, 20*(3), 553-561.

Lauermann, F., & Berger, J. L. (2021). Linking teacher self-efficacy and responsibility with teachers' self-reported and student-reported motivating styles and student engagement. *Learning and Instruction, 76*, 101441.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-efficacy: An essential motive to learn. *Contemporary educational psychology, 25*(1), 82-91.

Sentiment métacognitif

Barrett, L. F., & Simmons, W. K. (2015). Interoceptive predictions in the brain. *Nature reviews neuroscience, 16*(7), 419-429.

Kleitman, S., & Gibson, J. (2011). Metacognitive beliefs, self-confidence and primary learning environment of sixth grade students. *Learning and Individual Differences, 21*(6), 728-735.

Koriat, A. (2000). The feeling of knowing: Some metatheoretical implications for consciousness and control. *Consciousness and cognition, 9*(2), 149-171.

Koriat, A., & Levy-Sadot, R. (1999). Information-based and experience-based monitoring of one's own knowledge. *Dual-process theories in social psychology, 483-502*.

Reber, R., & Greifeneder, R. (2017). Processing fluency in education: How metacognitive feelings shape learning, belief formation, and affect. *Educational Psychologist, 52*(2), 84-103.

Sentiment rétrospectif

Ackerman, R. (2014). The diminishing criterion model for metacognitive regulation of time investment. *Journal of experimental psychology: General*, 143(3), 1349-1368.

Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (2004). Self-regulation of action and affect. *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory, and applications*, 2, 3-21.

Thompson, V., & Morsanyi, K. (2012). Analytic thinking: do you feel like it?. *Mind & Society*, 11, 93-105.

Stratégies métacognitives

Donker, A. S., H. de Boer, D. Kostons, C.C. Dignath van Ewijk, and M. P. C. van der Werf. (2014). "Effectiveness of learning strategy instruction on academic performance: A meta-analysis." *Educational Research Review* 11 (1):1-26.

Fayol, M., & Monteil, J. M. (1994). Stratégies d'apprentissage/apprentissage de stratégies. *Revue française de pédagogie*, 106(1), 91-110.

Gersten, R., Fuchs, L. S., Williams, J. P., & Baker, S. (2001). Teaching reading comprehension strategies to students with learning disabilities: A review of research. *Review of educational research*, 71(2), 279-320.

Karabenick, S. A., & Dembo, M. H. (2011). Understanding and facilitating self-regulated help seeking. *New directions for teaching and learning*, 2011(126), 33-43.

Karabenick, S. A., Berger, J.-L., Ruzek, E., & Schenke, K. (2021). Strategy motivation and strategy use: Role of student appraisals of utility and cost. *Metacognition and Learning*, 16, 345-366.

McKeown, M. G., Crosson, A. C., Moore, D. W., & Beck, I. L. (2018). Word knowledge and comprehension effects of an academic vocabulary intervention for middle school students. *American Educational Research Journal*, 55(3), 572-616.

T

Théories naïves

Kleitman, S., & Gibson, J. (2011). Metacognitive beliefs, self-confidence and primary learning environment of sixth grade students. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 21(6), 728-735.

Miele, D. B., & Molden, D. C. (2010). Naive theories of intelligence and the role of processing fluency in perceived comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 139(3), 535-557.

O'Sullivan, J. T. (1993). Preschoolers' beliefs about effort, incentives, and recall. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 55(3), 396-414.

V

Vouloir apprendre

Gauthier, C., Bissonnette, S., & Bocquillon, M. (2020). Pour innover en pédagogie universitaire, faut-il rejeter ou améliorer l'enseignement magistral ? *Enjeux et société*, 7(2), 129-155.

Fandakova, Y., & Gruber, M. J. (2021). States of curiosity and interest enhance memory differently in adolescents and in children. *Developmental Science*, 24(1), e13005

Kidd, C., Piantadosi, S.T. & Aslin, R.N. (2012). The Goldilocks effect: Human infants allocate attention to visual sequences that are neither too simple nor too complex. *PLoS one* 7.5 e36399

Gottlieb, J., & Oudeyer, P. Y. (2018). Towards a neuroscience of active sampling and curiosity. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 19(12), 758-770.

Mills, C. M., Sands, K. R., Rowles, S. P., & Campbell, I. L. (2019). "I want to know more!": Children are sensitive to explanation quality when exploring new information. *Cognitive Science*, 43(1), e12706.

Z

Zone proximale de développement (ZPD)

Kang, M. J., Hsu, M., Krajbich, I. M., Loewenstein, G., McClure, S. M., Wang, J. T. Y., & Camerer, C. F. (2009). The wick in the candle of learning: Epistemic curiosity activates reward circuitry and enhances memory. *Psychological science*, 20(8), 963-973.

Kidd, C., Piantadosi, S. T., & Aslin, R. N. (2012). The Goldilocks effect: Human infants allocate attention to visual sequences that are neither too simple nor too complex. *PLoS one*, 7(5), e36399.

Metcalfe, J., Schwartz, B. L., & Eich, T. S. (2020). Epistemic curiosity and the region of proximal learning. *Current opinion in behavioral sciences*, 35, 40-47.

Metcalfe, J., Schwartz, B. L., & Eich, T. S. (2020). Epistemic curiosity and the region of proximal learning. *Current opinion in behavioral sciences*, 35, 40-47.

[Proust, J. \(2019\). La métacognition: les enjeux pédagogiques de la recherche. In S.](#)

Dehaene (ed.), *La science au service de l'école*, Paris: Odile Jacob, pp. 159-280.

Xu, J., & Metcalfe, J. (2016). Studying in the region of proximal learning reduces mind wandering. *Memory & Cognition*, *44*, 681-695.